

Form 13



Submission on application concerning resource consent that is subject to public notification by Hurunui District Council

Sections 95A Resource Management Act 1991

Please do not hesitate to phone the planning section at the Hurunui District Council (ph 03 314 8816) if you require any assistance.

To: Hurunui District Council

This is a submission on an application from Hanmer Springs Thermal Pools and Spa (the applicant) for a resource consent to install and operate a gravity-based recreation activity (flyride) on the western face of the Conical Hill Reserve at 54 Lucas Lane, Hanmer Springs.

Submitter details

1. Name of Submitter *

Julie and Nicholas Rogers

Please provide your full name

2. For the purposes of Section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991: *

- I am a trade competitor
- I am not a trade competitor

3. I am or I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and**
- b) does not relate to trade competition or effects of trade competition**

- I am affected
- I am not affected

4. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: *

PARKING -

New businesses and activities are required to provide parking. NO Parking has been proposed for this Flyride activity, therefore breach Council rules. The intention to use public car parking around residential streets will cause congestion and why should the residents and ratepayers have to subsidise a commercial activity by using public property.

NOISE -

There is a predicted 80,000 riders in the first year, up to 97,000 in years three. This will adversely affect the amenity value of the walkway and with a capacity of 1400 riders per day, this will significantly add to the adverse effects with the potential to severely affect the current peace and ambience of Conical Hill for present and future generations, holiday makers and residents.

Once this activity has been established the intention to start Night flights is planned, this will be very disruptive to the residents and holiday makers that wish to enjoy the peace and quiet of the night. Night flights will require a generator and lighting which will impact on visitors wishing to experience the Star gazing experience, the lighting will need to be compatible with star gazing equipment.

WILDLIFE, NATIVE BIRDS AND AT RISK SPECIES -

Two 'at risk-in decline' species the Falcon and Gecko are residing on Conical Hill Reserve.

This natural environment on this Reserve is the perfect place to protect and nurture these precious creatures.

We also have many native birds and other wildlife that have chosen this location for their homes.

This is something very special to all of us and MUST be protected from any commercial activity.

ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARD -

The removal of 76 named trees (not to mention the other trees that will go) some planted as far back as 1910, will cause erosion if not replanted immediately.

This Reserve is bordering a residential area below, this area will be threatened by erosion, subsidence and water if these trees are removed.

This is a real concern and currently effecting our stress levels as we were victims of the 1999 Queenstown floods after a mud slide that came through our property leaving us homeless.

DISTURBANCE AND PRIVACY -

The current amenity value of people living and holidaying in this area will be severely affected due to the cumulative effects of vehicles, pedestrians, noise, rubbish, lighting, and riders on the flyride.

Our privacy will also be greatly impacted.

These areas/subdivisions adjoining this reserve area were never designed for this kind of activity and the impact it will have on them.

We request that the Hurunui District Council DECLINES the proposed Flyride activity in this location for all the reasons mentioned above and not limited to other concerns that may arise.

5. My submission is in *

SUPPORT

OPPOSITION

*

Include whether you support, oppose or are neutral to specific parts of the application or wish to have them amended and provide reasons for your views. This may be provided on a separate sheet if you need more space.

We oppose the entire application for the proposed Flyride as above

6. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: *

give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought

That the Consent for the proposed Flywire (Zipline) be DECLINED.

7. Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission *

I do wish to be heard

I do not wish to be heard

8. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. *

- Yes
 No

9. In pursuant to Section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991: I request / do not request that the council delegate their functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.*

- I request
 I do not request

11. Contact details for the submitter:

Address for service:*

4 Oregon Heights
Hanmer Springs

Po Box 36554
Merivale
Christchurch 8146

Phone Number:*

021655893

Email:*

office@rogersgroup.co.nz

Date*

04/08/2021

Note to submitter

- By using this electronic form, a copy of the submission will be sent to the address for service of the applicant automatically.
- The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is 5pm on the 20th working day after the date on which public notification is given (5th August 2021).
- If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in [Part 11A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- If you make a request under [section 100A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners.
- Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - it is frivolous or vexatious:
 - it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - it contains offensive language:
 - it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.